arXiv:1612.03708v1 [astro-ph.CO] 12 Dec 2016

A Hemispherical Power Asymmetry of the Cosmic Microwave Background from a Remnant of a pre-Inflationary Topological Defect

Qiaoli Yang*†, Yunqi Liu‡, and Haoran Di§

School of Physics, Huazhong Univ. of Science and Technology, Wuhan, 430074.

(Dated: December 13, 2016)

Abstract

Observations indicate that large scale "anomalies" exist in the cosmic microwave background fluctuations in which the hemispherical power amplitude asymmetry has a correlation length of the universe scale therefore a pre-inflationary origin may be required. We propose that a topological defect created by the spontaneously breaking of the U(1) symmetry before inflation generates an initial phase variation $\delta\theta$ across the original patch of our universe. The amplitude of the phase fluctuation is protected by topology when the defect is inside the horizon and is frozen by causality after the defect exiting the horizon. After inflation, the phase corresponding boson field starts to oscillate when the Hubble rate decreases to comparing to the mass of the boson field. The energy density of the newly created boson particles varies across the observable universe. The bosons subsequently decay into radiation before the BBN epoch, and the fluctuation of the energy density can produce the required power asymmetry.

* corresponding author
† qiaoli_yang@hotmail.com
‡ liuyunqi@hust.edu.cn
§ haoran_di@yahoo.com

I. INTRODUCTION

The cosmic microwave background (CMB) observations show great consistent with the inflation paradigm, especially for small scales. In this paradigm [1–3], quantum fluctuations seed the cosmos structures and the respective predictions agree the observations with remarkable accuracies [4, 5]. However, measurements from the Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy (WMAP) and the PLANCK missions indicate that there are large scale "anomalies" in which the hemispherical power asymmetry has a correlation length comparing to the observable universe [6–11].

The hemispherical power asymmetry can be written as:

$$\Delta T(\hat{n}) = (1 + A\hat{p} \cdot \hat{n})\Delta T_{iso}(\hat{n}) \quad , \tag{1}$$

where $\hat{n}$ is a unit vector of observational direction, $\hat{p}$ is the preferred direction of the asymmetry and $A = 0.072 \pm 0.022$ from the WMAP and confirmed by the PLANCK mission [12–14]. There are many proposals that explain the asymmetry and the presence of a large-amplitude superhorizon perturbation, a sinusoid perturbation, due to the curvaton field [15] is one of them. In the scenario, the curvaton field plays an important role in the cosmic evolution [16–22].

The standard inflation theory predicts that the universe experienced a period of exponential expansion, therefore the observable universe was once a patch within a horizon with an established causal contact. The spatial curvature and exotic-relics such as the magnetic-monopoles et al. were inflated away, thus the universe today is smooth, flat and homogeneous. In addition, the quantum fluctuation creates a primordial density fluctuation which evolves to the current cosmic structures, so the universe should be isotropic. These predictions agree observations accurately but the anomalies of the CMB suggest that there could be some additional ingredients.

In this paper, we propose that a remnant from a topological defect produced by spontaneously symmetry breaking before the inflation can generate the observed power asymmetry. The creation of topological defects by phase transitions is a common phenomenon in the field theory and in the condensate matter physics [23–27]. We consider here a simple complex scalar field model with a U(1) symmetry potential plus a mass term. The U(1) symmetry spontaneously breaks before the inflation and string-like topological defects with a typical



FIG. 1: The fluctuation created by a string like topological defect. The θ term corresponds a boson field with an amplitude $\sigma = \theta\eta$, where η is the symmetry breaking scale. Since the spontaneously symmetry breaking happens before the inflation, we have $\sigma \sim \eta > H_I$. An explicitly symmetry breaking potential gives rise to a mass to the σ field. The minimal energy configuration of the boson field is $\theta = 0$ thus $\Sigma = \alpha\eta$ corresponds to a homogeneous background value of the field and $\delta\theta = \theta - \alpha$ corresponds to the field amplitude fluctuation.

phase variation $\Delta\theta = 2\pi$ around the defect were created. Part of the variation $\delta\theta$ falls into the patch which evolves to current observable universe (see Fig.1). The phase corresponding boson field receives an initial varying amplitude across the patch. As the $\delta\theta$ is protected by topology before the defect exiting the horizon and is frozen by causality after the defect exiting the horizon, the magnitude of the perturbation remains after the inflation. When the Hubble rate of the universe decreases to comparing to the mass of the bosons, the field rolls toward its minimum and starts to oscillate. A condensate of boson particles with a primordial variation is created. The bosons later decay into radiations. The variation of the boson particles can generate the observed hemispherical power asymmetry as we show in the following discussions.

II. INFLATION AND PRIMORDIAL DENSITY PERTURBATION

The single-field inflation theory [28] predicts that the energy density of the universe was dominated by the inflaton field ϕ. When the potential energy of the inflaton dominates over its kinetic energy, the expansion rate of the universe is accelerated, thus many puzzles in the big bang model is solved. In order to achieve the scenario, the potential of the inflaton field $V(\phi)$ satisfies the slow-roll condition which is: $(m_{pl}^2/16\pi)(V'/V)^2 \ll 1$ and $(m_{pl}^2/8\pi)(V''/V) \ll 1$, where $m_{pl} = \sqrt{G} = 1.2 * 10^{19}$GeV is the Planck mass. The universe experienced a period of exponentially expanse $a(t_{end})/a(t_{begin}) \sim e^N$ where $a(t)$ is the scale factor and $N \sim 60$.

The primordial density fluctuation which responses for the structure formation of observable universe is due to the quantum fluctuations of the inflaton. Considering only the linear scalar perturbations, the perturbed Friedmann-Robertson-Walker (FRW) spacetime can be parameterized by the Newtonian potential Ψ and the spatial curvature perturbation Φ:

$$ds^2 = -(1+2\Psi)dt^2 + a^2(1-2\Phi)\delta_{ij}dx^i dx^j \quad . \tag{2}$$

As the anisotropic stress is negligible [29], we have $\Phi = \Psi$. The power spectrum of gravitation potential is defined as: $\langle\Phi(\vec{k})\Phi(\vec{k'})\rangle = (2\pi)^3 P_\Phi(k)\delta^3(\vec{k}-\vec{k'})$, and the spectrum is consistent with current observations [4, 5].

The inflation paradigm predicts an isotropic power spectrum. In addition, physical processes happen after the inflation should have a correlation length smaller than the size of the observable universe, thus the existence of a hemispherical power asymmetry across the universe is unexpected. A pre-inflationary cosmic structure created by a spontaneously symmetry breaking seems a natural solution. In the following part, we will study a scenario that the asymmetry is a remnant of a pre-inflationary topological defect of a boson field.

III. PSEUDO-GOLDSTONE BOSONS AND THE STRING-LIKE TOPOLOGICAL DEFECT

We now consider a complex field τ with a U(1) symmetry potential plus a potential term V which gives the Goldstone Boson a mass term. The relative Lagrangian is:

$$\mathcal{L} \supset \frac{1}{2}\lambda^2(\tau^\dagger\tau - \eta^2)^2 + V(\theta) \quad , \tag{3}$$

where λ and η are positive parameters and θ defines the phase angle of the complex field τ. The minima of the potential are nonzero so the U(1) symmetry is spontaneously broken and τ acquires a vacuum expectation value,

$$\langle\tau\rangle = \eta e^{i\theta(x)} \quad . \tag{4}$$

The $V(\theta)$ term gives rise to a mass m_σ to the Goldstone boson $\sigma = \theta\eta$ therefore it is a Pseudo-Goldstone boson.

The Lagrangian receives additional potential terms from a non-zero temperature thus when $T > T_c \sim \eta$ [23], the minimum of the potential is at $\tau = 0$, and the U(1) symmetry is restored. If the U(1) symmetry is spontaneously breaking before the inflation which $T_I \sim H_I/(2\pi)$ [30], we have $\eta > H_I/(2\pi)$ where $H_I \sim 8.8 * 10^{13}$GeV.

Immediately after the U(1) symmetry breaking, the Pseudo-Goldstone boson satisfies:

$$(\partial_t^2 + 3H\partial_t + \frac{k^2}{a^2})\sigma(\vec{k}, t) = 0 \quad , \tag{5}$$

where the mass term is negligible during this era. For the modes with a comoving wave length $2\pi(k/a)^{-1} \gg H^{-1}$, the last term of Eq.(5) is negligible therefore the solution is $\sigma(\vec{k}, t) \sim \sigma(\vec{k})$ which is often called "frozen by causality". For these modes with a comoving wave length $2\pi(k/a)^{-1} \ll H^{-1}$, the mode oscillates with a decreasing amplitude thus field fluctuations with energy are typically diluted before exiting the horizon.

If a topological defect appears, the variation of a field can be protected by topology. Let us consider the cosmic strings created from the global U(1) symmetry breaking. After the vacuum phase transition, the phase angle θ varies around a closed loop if a defect appears inside the loop and the total change around a closed loop is 2π for the lowest energy state. The defect is one dimensionally stretched therefore is called a cosmic string. In a cylindrical coordinate, the string field configuration can be written as: $\tau = \eta e^{i\theta}$, for $r > r_{core}$ where $r_{core} \sim \lambda^{-1}\eta^{-1}$ is the radius of the string core. The amplitude of the field is substantially different from η only within the string core. Thus the energy per unit length of the string is [23] $\mu \sim 2\pi\eta^2 ln(R/r_{core})$, where the extent of the radius R is the typical distance between adjacent strings.

IV. THE INITIAL FLUCTUATION

We now consider a scenario that a string-like topological defect is created before the inflation and near the patch which leads to the observable universe. The initial phase amplitude in the patch is (see Fig.1):

$$\Delta\theta = \theta - \alpha = \frac{\sigma(x)}{\eta} - \alpha = arctan\frac{x}{l-y} \quad , \tag{6}$$

where α , l are parameters shown in Fig.1. For the region $x \ll l$, $y \ll l$, we have:

$$\sigma(\vec{x}) \approx arctan(\frac{x}{l})\eta + \alpha\eta = \sigma_a arctan(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}) + \Sigma, \tag{7}$$

where $\vec{k} = (1/l, 0, 0)$ in the reference frame, $\sigma_a = \eta$, and $\Sigma = \alpha\eta$. Since $arctan(kx) \propto \int(e^{-|k'/k|}/k')e^{-ik'x}dk'$, the perturbation is dominated by the normal modes with a wavelength $(1/k') \gtrsim (1/k) = l$. As the universe expanding, the wave length of the perturbations expands as $1/k \propto a(t)$. The amplitude of the perturbation $\Delta\theta$ remains as far as the topological defect is inside the horizon. After the defect exit the horizon, the wave length of the dominated modes also expands to superhorizon thus the amplitude is frozen by causality according to Eq.(5).

After inflation, the universe is reheated with energy density dominated by radiations so the universe enters the radiation dominated era. As the universe expanses, the Hubble rate decreases and when it becomes order of $H \sim m_\sigma$, the Pseudo-Goldston field σ rolls to its minimum so a cold condensate with a primordial fluctuation $\Delta\sigma = \Delta\theta\eta$ across the observable universe is created. The topological defect is well outside the observable universe and a domain wall could be created at $\theta = 0$ [23]. Since we consider a scenario of small fluctuations so $\Delta\sigma/\Sigma < 1$, we have $\Delta\theta < \alpha$ thus the domain wall is outside the observable universe as well.

As the superhorizon wave vector $|\vec{k}| \ll H \lesssim m_\sigma$, the σ particles behave like non-relativistic matter. The energy density ρ_σ decreases proportional to a^{-3}. The dominated energy density ρ, which is radiation, decreases proportional to a^{-4}. Therefore $\rho_\sigma/\rho \propto a$, thus the energy density portion due to the σ field grows before it decays to relativistic particles.

The σ particles decay into radiation before the standard big bang nucleosynthesis (BBN) era in order to retain the success of the BBN. In this paper we consider only the standard particle decay scenario. The decay rate of the σ particle is:

$$\Gamma = \frac{g}{4\pi}\frac{m_\sigma^3}{\eta^2} \quad , \tag{8}$$

where g is a model dependent factor which we take it order of one in the following discussions, therefore we have

$$\Gamma > H_B = \sqrt{\frac{8\pi}{3m_{pl}^2}\frac{g_*\pi^2 T_B^4}{30}} \quad , \tag{9}$$

where $g_* \approx 10.8$ and $T_B \approx 1$MeV are the effective degrees of freedom of radiation and the temperature at the BBN era respectively [31]. Let us assume $\eta = 2T_c \sim 2.8 * 10^{13}$GeV, then we have a weak boundary of the mass $m_\sigma \gtrsim 16.4$GeV. In addition, the energy density of the σ particles just before their decay at time t is:

$$\rho_\sigma \approx m_\sigma^2 \eta^2 [\frac{a(t_1)}{a(t)}]^3 = 0.02\frac{m_\sigma^5}{\eta} \quad , \tag{10}$$

where $t_1 \approx 1/m_\sigma$ is the time the σ field stars to oscillate and $t \approx 1/\Gamma$ is the lifetime of the σ particles. The total energy density at time t is:

$$\rho = \frac{g_*\pi^2 T_B^4}{30}[\frac{a(t_B)}{a(t)}]^4 \approx 1.2 * 10^{35}\text{GeV}^2\frac{m_\sigma^6}{\eta^4} \quad , \tag{11}$$

where $t_B \sim H_B^{-1} \sim 1.5$s is the time of the BBN era. Combing Eq.(10) and Eq.(11), we have the energy density ratio:

$$\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho} = 1.7 * 10^{-37}\text{GeV}^{-2}\frac{\eta^3}{m_\sigma} \ , \tag{12}$$

after the σ field decays. To preserve the homogeneity of the observed universe, we have $\rho_\sigma/\rho \ll 1$, therefore, we have a stronger constraint: $m_\sigma \gtrsim 20$TeV.

V. THE POWER ASYMMETRY

We now consider the perturbations to CMB due to the σ boson. After the initial variation created by the topological defect, the fluctuation modes are inflated out of horizon. The quantum effect gives rise to an additional amplitude fluctuation $\delta\sigma(k) = (H_I/2\pi)|_{(k/a)=H_I}$ as the mass of the σ field is negligible comparing to the Hubble rate during inflation. The spectrum of the quantum created fluctuation is almost flat since $\dot{H}_I/H_I^2 \ll 1$ and $|V_{\sigma\sigma}| \ll H_I^2$. Well after inflation and after the era $H \sim m_\sigma$, the field starts to oscillate. The energy density of the σ field is:

$$\rho(\vec{x}) = \frac{1}{2}m_\sigma^2\bar{\sigma}^2(\vec{x}) \quad , \tag{13}$$

where the bar means the amplitude of the oscillation. Since $\bar{\sigma} \sim \eta \gg H_I$, the field perturbation is small thus the energy density contrast is

$$\frac{\delta\rho_\sigma(\vec{x})}{\rho_\sigma(\vec{x})} \approx 2\frac{\delta\sigma(\vec{x})}{\bar{\sigma}(\vec{x})} \sim \frac{H_I}{\pi\bar{\sigma}(\vec{x})} \tag{14}$$



FIG. 2: The parameter space for the proposed scenario. The upper part of the parameter space is ruled out due to constraint from the CMB quadrupole and the lower part of the parameter space is ruled from the CMB non-Gaussian constraint. The dashed lines are from Eq.(22) which relates symmetry breaking scale η, the density ratio and the power spectrum ratio. The vertical line $\Delta\sigma/\bar{\sigma}$ gives the magnitudes of small fluctuations. After all the cosmic considerations are considered, the symmetry breaking scale $\eta \sim 10^{15}$GeV, (see part V).

where we have used the condition that the quantum fluctuation spectrum is flat. The resulted curvature perturbation is [16]:

$$P_{\Phi,\sigma} \approx (\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho})^2 (\frac{H_I}{\pi\bar{\sigma}})^2 \ , \tag{15}$$

where ρ_σ/ρ is defined at the epoch of the σ decay. In addition, as $\rho_\sigma \ll \rho$ and $\rho_\sigma \propto \bar{\sigma}^2$ the curvature perturbation $P_{\Phi,\sigma} \propto \bar{\sigma}^2$ and the perturbation of curvature perturbation is $\delta P_{\Phi,\sigma} \propto 2\delta\sigma\bar{\sigma}$. The power spectrum asymmetry is then:

$$\frac{\Delta P_\Phi}{P_\Phi} = \frac{\Delta P_{\Phi,\sigma}}{P_{\Phi,\sigma}} \frac{P_{\Phi,\sigma}}{P_\Phi} = \frac{2\Delta\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} \frac{P_{\Phi,\sigma}}{P_\Phi} = 0.07 \tag{16}$$

where $\Delta\sigma/\bar{\sigma} = \sigma_a arctan(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}_{dec})/\Sigma \approx (\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}_{dec})(\sigma_a/\Sigma) = (\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}_{dec})/\alpha$ as $\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}_{dec} \ll 1$. x_{dec} denotes the decoupling in the comoving coordinate.

In addition, the small inhomogeneities of the σ field creates perturbations to CMB

through the Grishchuk-Zel'dovich effect [32]. The density fluctuation:

$$\delta\rho = m^2[2\bar{\sigma}\delta\sigma + (\delta\sigma)^2] \tag{17}$$

generates a gauge-invariant curvature perturbation [28, 33] which leads to:

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi &\approx -\frac{1}{5}\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho}\frac{\delta\rho_\sigma}{\rho_\sigma} = -\frac{1}{5}\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho}[2\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}} + (\frac{\Delta\sigma}{\bar{\sigma}})^2] \\ &= -\frac{1}{5}\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho}[2\frac{\sigma_a}{\Sigma}(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}) + (\frac{\sigma_a}{\Sigma})^2(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x})^2 + ..]\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

This potential creates CMB temperature fluctuations by the (integrated) Sachs-Wolfe (SW) effect [34] and by the Doppler effect. The resulted temperature fluctuation is [35]:

$$\frac{\Delta T}{T}(\hat{n}) = 0.066\mu^2\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho}(\frac{\sigma_a}{\Sigma})^2(\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}_{de})^2 + ..\ \ , \tag{19}$$

where $\mu = \hat{k}\cdot\hat{n}$ and $\hat{n}$ is the observation direction. The dipole contribution vanishes because the SW effect combining with the ISW effect is canceled by the Doppler shift giving arise from the potential induced particle velocities, therefore the leading contribution term is quadratic and the observational constraint is from the quadrupole spherical-harmonic coefficient. Considering a 5σ upper limit of the quadrupole temperature fluctuation $\sim 5 * \sqrt{250(\mu K)^2}$ [36], the boundary is: $(\rho_\sigma/\rho)(\sigma_a/\Sigma)^2(kx_{de})^2 \lesssim 4.40 * 10^{-4}$. This limit together with Eq.(16) gives rise to an upper boundary in the Power spectrum ratio - Density ratio (PD) parameter space:

$$\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho} \lesssim (\frac{2}{0.07})^2 * 4.40 * 10^{-4}\left(\frac{P_{\Phi,\sigma}}{P_\Phi}\right)^2 \ \ . \tag{20}$$

As the σ bosons create non-Gaussian contributions to the density fluctuation by the $\delta\sigma^2$ term in Eq.(17), there is an additional constraint. The non-Gaussian contribution is parameterized by f_{NL} which is defined as: $\Phi = \Phi_{gauss} + f_{NL}\Phi^2_{gauss}$. The contributions is $f_{NL} = (5/4)(\rho/\rho_\sigma)(P_{\Phi,\sigma}/P_\Phi)^2$ [37–39]. The Planck mission finds $f_{NL} \lesssim 0.01\% * 10^5$ [40], thus the lower boundary in the PD space is:

$$\frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{P_{\Phi,\sigma}}{P_\Phi}\right)^2 \lesssim \frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho} \ \ . \tag{21}$$

Finally, the magnitude of the primordial power spectrum is order of $P_\Phi \sim 1.5 * 10^{-9}$ [41]. Giving a particular symmetry breaking scale η, Eq.(15) yields:

$$\frac{\rho_\sigma}{\rho} \approx \sqrt{\left(\frac{P_{\Phi,\sigma}}{P_\Phi}\right) * 1.5 * 10^{-9}}\left(\frac{\eta\pi}{H_I}\right) \ \ . \tag{22}$$

We thus put the constraints Eq.(20), Eq.(21), and the relation Eq.(22) to the PD space, see Fig.(2). Notice that the field fluctuation across the observed universe $\Delta\sigma/\bar{\sigma} < 1$ for small fluctuations which gives a left boundary of the $P_{\Phi,\sigma}/P_{\Phi}$, thus $\eta \gtrsim 7.2 * 10^{14}$GeV. In addition, Eq.(12) yields a particular mass for given η and ρ_σ/ρ. Since the σ bosons stars to oscillate after the inflation, $m_\sigma \ll H_I$, we have an upper boundary $\eta \lesssim 6.5 * 10^{15}$GeV. Therefore for a scenario that an inflaton plus a Pseudo-Goldstone, $\eta \sim 10^{15}$GeV and $m \sim 10^{12}$GeV due to the cosmological considerations. The explicit symmetry breaking potential of the σ field therefore has a form $V(\sigma) \sim (\eta^2/m_{pl})\sigma^2 + \mathcal{O}(\sigma^2)$.

VI. SUMMARY AND DISCUSSION

The observations of CMB anisotropy suggest that there are "anomalies" in the large scales. The hemispherical power asymmetry is especially interesting as it indicates a possible pre-inflationary origin. In this paper, we suggest a scenario that a string-like topological defect created by spontaneously symmetry breaking before inflation generates a spacial perturbation of a boson field. The amplitude of the perturbation is protected by topology before the defect exiting horizon and later is frozen by causality after the defect inflated out of horizon. Well after inflation, the boson field starts to oscillate with the initial perturbation across the observable universe. The bosons then decay into radiations before the BBN era. The density perturbation of the bosons can generate the required power asymmetry without producing unwanted multi-poles and non-Gaussianity into the CMB. While we consider here a complex scalar field with a U(1) symmetry potential plus a mass term, the mechanism can be general.

Acknowledgments: We would like to thank JianWei Cui for helpful discussions. This work is partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant Number 11305066.

---

[1] A. H. Guth, "The Inflationary Universe: A Possible Solution to the Horizon and Flatness Problems," Phys. Rev. D **23**, 347 (1981). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.23.347

[2] A. Albrecht and P. J. Steinhardt, "Cosmology for Grand Unified Theories with Radiatively Induced Symmetry Breaking," Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1220 (1982).

doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1220

[3] A. D. Linde, "A New Inflationary Universe Scenario: A Possible Solution of the Horizon, Flatness, Homogeneity, Isotropy and Primordial Monopole Problems," Phys. Lett. **108B**, 389 (1982). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(82)91219-9

[4] E. Komatsu *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], "Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Cosmological Interpretation," Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 18 (2011) doi:10.1088/0067-0049/192/2/18 [arXiv:1001.4538 [astro-ph.CO]].

[5] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2013 results. XVI. Cosmological parameters," Astron. Astrophys. **571**, A16 (2014) doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201321591 [arXiv:1303.5076 [astro-ph.CO]].

[6] H. K. Eriksen, F. K. Hansen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski and P. B. Lilje, "Asymmetries in the Cosmic Microwave Background anisotropy field," Astrophys. J. **605**, 14 (2004) Erratum: [Astrophys. J. **609**, 1198 (2004)] doi:10.1086/382267 [astro-ph/0307507].

[7] H. K. Eriksen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, F. K. Hansen and P. B. Lilje, "Hemispherical power asymmetry in the three-year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe sky maps," Astrophys. J. **660**, L81 (2007) doi:10.1086/518091 [astro-ph/0701089].

[8] F. K. Hansen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, H. K. Eriksen and P. B. Lilje, "Power Asymmetry in Cosmic Microwave Background Fluctuations from Full Sky to Sub-degree Scales: Is the Universe Isotropic?," Astrophys. J. **704**, 1448 (2009) doi:10.1088/0004-637X/704/2/1448 [arXiv:0812.3795 [astro-ph]].

[9] J. Hoftuft, H. K. Eriksen, A. J. Banday, K. M. Gorski, F. K. Hansen and P. B. Lilje, "Increasing evidence for hemispherical power asymmetry in the five-year WMAP data," Astrophys. J. **699**, 985 (2009) doi:10.1088/0004-637X/699/2/985 [arXiv:0903.1229 [astro-ph.CO]].

[10] F. Paci, A. Gruppuso, F. Finelli, A. De Rosa, N. Mandolesi and P. Natoli, "Hemispherical power asymmetries in the WMAP 7-year low-resolution temperature and polarization maps," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **434**, 3071 (2013) doi:10.1093/mnras/stt1219 [arXiv:1301.5195 [astro-ph.CO]].

[11] K. Land and J. Magueijo, "The Axis of evil," Phys. Rev. Lett. **95**, 071301 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.071301 [astro-ph/0502237].

[12] E. P. Donoghue and J. F. Donoghue, "Isotropy of the early universe from CMB anisotropies," Phys. Rev. D **71**, 043002 (2005) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.71.043002 [astro-ph/0411237].

[13] D. Hanson and A. Lewis, "Estimators for CMB Statistical Anisotropy," Phys. Rev. D **80**, 063004 (2009) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.80.063004 [arXiv:0908.0963 [astro-ph.CO]].

[14] C. L. Bennett *et al.*, "Seven-Year Wilkinson Microwave Anisotropy Probe (WMAP) Observations: Are There Cosmic Microwave Background Anomalies?," Astrophys. J. Suppl. **192**, 17 (2011) doi:10.1088/0067-0049/192/2/17 [arXiv:1001.4758 [astro-ph.CO]].

[15] A. L. Erickcek, M. Kamionkowski and S. M. Carroll, "A Hemispherical Power Asymmetry from Inflation," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 123520 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.123520 [arXiv:0806.0377 [astro-ph]].

[16] D. H. Lyth and D. Wands, "Generating the curvature perturbation without an inflaton," Phys. Lett. B **524**, 5 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01366-1 [hep-ph/0110002].

[17] T. Moroi and T. Takahashi, "Effects of cosmological moduli fields on cosmic microwave background," Phys. Lett. B **522**, 215 (2001) Erratum: [Phys. Lett. B **539**, 303 (2002)] doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(02)02070-1, 10.1016/S0370-2693(01)01295-3 [hep-ph/0110096].

[18] K. Enqvist and M. S. Sloth, "Adiabatic CMB perturbations in pre - big bang string cosmology," Nucl. Phys. B **626**, 395 (2002) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00043-3 [hep-ph/0109214].

[19] A. D. Linde and V. Mukhanov, "The curvaton web," JCAP **0604**, 009 (2006) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2006/04/009 [astro-ph/0511736].

[20] M. S. Turner, "A Tilted Universe (and Other Remnants of the Preinflationary Universe)," Phys. Rev. D **44**, 3737 (1991). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.44.3737

[21] K. A. Malik, D. Wands and C. Ungarelli, "Large scale curvature and entropy perturbations for multiple interacting fluids," Phys. Rev. D **67**, 063516 (2003) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.67.063516 [astro-ph/0211602].

[22] D. Langlois and F. Vernizzi, "Mixed inflaton and curvaton perturbations," Phys. Rev. D **70**, 063522 (2004) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.70.063522 [astro-ph/0403258].

[23] A. Vilenkin and A. E. Everett, "Cosmic Strings and Domain Walls in Models with Goldstone and PseudoGoldstone Bosons," Phys. Rev. Lett. **48**, 1867 (1982). doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.1867

[24] D. Harari and P. Sikivie, "On the Evolution of Global Strings in the Early Universe," Phys. Lett. B **195**, 361 (1987). doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)90032-3

[25] M. B. Hindmarsh and T. W. B. Kibble, "Cosmic strings," Rept. Prog. Phys. **58**, 477 (1995) doi:10.1088/0034-4885/58/5/001 [hep-ph/9411342].

[26] P. Sikivie, "Axion Cosmology," Lect. Notes Phys. **741**, 19 (2008) [astro-ph/0610440].

[27] J. Zhang, J. J. Blanco-Pillado, J. Garriga and A. Vilenkin, "Topological Defects from the Multiverse," JCAP **1505**, no. 05, 059 (2015) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2015/05/059 [arXiv:1501.05397 [hep-th]].

[28] B. A. Bassett, S. Tsujikawa and D. Wands, Rev. Mod. Phys. **78** (2006) 537 doi:10.1103/RevModPhys.78.537 [astro-ph/0507632].

[29] W. Hu and N. Sugiyama, "Anisotropies in the cosmic microwave background: An Analytic approach," Astrophys. J. **444**, 489 (1995) doi:10.1086/175624 [astro-ph/9407093].

[30] G. W. Gibbons and S. W. Hawking, "Cosmological Event Horizons, Thermodynamics, and Particle Creation," Phys. Rev. D **15**, 2738 (1977). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.15.2738

[31] K. A. Olive, G. Steigman and T. P. Walker, "Primordial nucleosynthesis: Theory and observations," Phys. Rept. **333**, 389 (2000) doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(00)00031-4 [astro-ph/9905320].

[32] L. P. Grishchuk and I. B. Zeldovich, Soviet Astronomy 22,125 (1978).

[33] J. M. Bardeen, P. J. Steinhardt and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 679 (1983). doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.28.679

[34] R. K. Sachs and A. M. Wolfe, "Perturbations of a cosmological model and angular variations of the microwave background," Astrophys. J. **147**, 73 (1967) [Gen. Rel. Grav. **39**, 1929 (2007)]. doi:10.1007/s10714-007-0448-9

[35] A. L. Erickcek, S. M. Carroll and M. Kamionkowski, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 083012 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.083012 [arXiv:0808.1570 [astro-ph]].

[36] G. Efstathiou, "A Maximum likelihood analysis of the low CMB multipoles from WMAP," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **348**, 885 (2004) doi:10.1111/j.1365-2966.2004.07409.x [astro-ph/0310207].

[37] L. Verde, L. M. Wang, A. Heavens and M. Kamionkowski, "Large scale structure, the cosmic microwave background, and primordial non-gaussianity," Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc. **313**, L141 (2000) doi:10.1046/j.1365-8711.2000.03191.x [astro-ph/9906301].

[38] K. A. Malik and D. H. Lyth, "A numerical study of non-gaussianity in the curvaton scenario," JCAP **0609**, 008 (2006) doi:10.1088/1475-7516/2006/09/008 [astro-ph/0604387].

[39] K. Ichikawa, T. Suyama, T. Takahashi and M. Yamaguchi, "Non-Gaussianity, Spectral Index and Tensor Modes in Mixed Inflaton and Curvaton Models," Phys. Rev. D **78**, 023513 (2008) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.78.023513 [arXiv:0802.4138 [astro-ph]].

[40] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], "Planck 2015 results. XVII. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity," Astron. Astrophys. **594**, A17 (2016) doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525836 [arXiv:1502.01592 [astro-ph.CO]].

[41] P. A. R. Ade *et al.* [Planck Collaboration], Astron. Astrophys. **594**, A20 (2016) doi:10.1051/0004-6361/201525898 [arXiv:1502.02114 [astro-ph.CO]].